(PC) Habeet	o v. Foulk I	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	INI THE INITED C	FATES DISTRICT COLIDT
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE EASTERN	DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	TAMID ADDILL ALLHADEED	N. 2.14 0706 CMV
11	TAMIR ABDULLAH HABEEB,	No. 2:14-cv-0706 CMK
12	Plaintiff,	ODDED
13	VS.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	M.D. STAINER, et al.	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42	
18	U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
19	636(c) and no other party has been served or appeared in the action.	
20	The court issued an order to show cause on January 12, 2017, requiring plaintiff to	
21	show cause why this action should not be dismissed for failure to file an amended complaint.	
22	Plaintiff was warned that failure to file an amended complaint may result in dismissal of this	
23	action for lack of prosecution and failure to comply with court rules and orders. See Local Rule	
24	110. To date, plaintiff has not filed a response to the order to show cause or an amended	
25	///	
26	111	
		1

Doc. 18

complaint.1

The undersigned therefore finds it appropriate to dismiss this action for plaintiff's failure to comply to court orders, and failure to file an amended complaint.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. This action is dismissed without prejudice; and
- 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

DATED: March 16, 2017

CRAIG M. KELLISON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Although it appears from the file that the order was returned, the parties were properly served. It is the responsibility of the parties to keep the court apprised of their address of record at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.