

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT L. WILSON, II,
Plaintiff,
v.
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS AND
REHABILITAION, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-0721 CKD P

ORDER AND
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed April 8, 2014, plaintiff was ordered to file a complaint and a completed application to proceed in forma pauperis within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court assign a district court judge to this case; and


IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned “Objections

1 to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
2 objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order.

3 Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

4 Dated: May 21, 2014

5 
6 CAROLYN K. DELANEY
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
wils0721.fifp