1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KEITH ROWLAND,	No. 2:14-cv-0739 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	UNKNOWN,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By an order filed April 2, 2014, plaintiff was ordered to file a civil rights complaint and	
18	either file an in forma pauperis affidavit or pay the appropriate filing fees within thirty days and	
19	was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be	
20	dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not responded to the court's	
21	order and has not filed a complaint to commence this action as required by Rule 3 of the Federal	
22	Rules of Civil Procedure and has not filed an in forma pauperis affidavit or paid the appropriate	
23	filing fee.	
24	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to assign	
25	a district judge to this case; and	
26	IT IS RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.	
27	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
28	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	

after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: May 6, 2014 /rowl0739.ffc UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE