

1 **JASON K. SINGLETON**, State Bar # 166170
 2 jason@singletonlawgroup.com
 3 **SINGLETON LAW GROUP**
 4 611 "L" Street, Suite "A"
 5 Eureka, CA 95501
 6 (707) 441-1177
 7 FAX: 441-1533

8 **Attorneys for Plaintiff, MARSHALL LOSKOT**

9 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 10 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11	MARSHALL LOSKOT,)	Case No. 2:14-CV-752 TLN CMK
12)	
13	Plaintiff,)	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO ALLOW
14	v.)	FILING OF FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
15)	
16	ROBERTO DE LA TORRE, dba)	
17	TAQUERIA LOS GORDOS, CHARLES H.)	
18	MARX and MARY MARLENE MARX,)	
19	Trustees of the MARX FAMILY 2012)	
20	TRUST, and DOES ONE through FIFTY,)	
21	inclusive,)	
22)	
23	Defendants.)	

24 After completion of a duly notice and conducted site inspection of the premises which
 25 are the subject of the within action, on September 29, 2014, Plaintiff desires to file a First
 26 Amended Complaint to amend Paragraph 6 inserting all the barriers to access revealed by the
 27 inspection which affect Plaintiff at Taqueria Los Gordos, the facility which is the subject of this
 28 action, a copy of which amended complaint is attached hereto as Exhibit "A."

Defendants stipulate that Plaintiff may file the First Amended Complaint and that
 Defendants shall not be required to file an answer to the First Amended Complaint, their
 Answer filed April 22, 2014, as Document 4 is accepted as responsive to the First Amended
 Complaint.

It is so stipulated.

1 **SINGLETON LAW GROUP**

2
3 Dated: October 27, 2014

/s/ Jason K. Singleton
Jason K. Singleton, Attorney for Plaintiff,
MARSHALL LOSKOT

5 **REESE, SMALLEY, WISEMAN & SCHWEITZER, LLP**

6 Dated: October 27, 2014

/s/ Victor R. Richardson
Victor R. Richardson, Attorneys for Defendants,
**ROBERTO DE LA TORRE, dba TAQUERIA LOS
GORDOS, CHARLES H. MARX and MARY
MARLENE MARX, Trustees of the MARX FAMILY
2012 TRUST**

10 **ORDER**

11 The Court, based upon the foregoing Stipulation, hereby orders as follows:

12
13 1. Plaintiff may file a First Amended Complaint adding the additional barriers
14 discovered as a result of a site inspection.

15 2. Defendants shall not be required to file an answer to the First Amended Complaint,
16 their Answer filed April 22, 2014, as Document 4 is accepted as responsive to the First Amended
17 Complaint.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19
20
21 Dated: November 5, 2014

22
23 

24 Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge