3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
ROBERT H. FISHER,	No. 2:14-cv-757-KJM-EFB P
Plaintiff,	
V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
EDWARD BONNER, et al.,	
Defendants.	
Plaintiff is a former county inmate proceeding without counsel in an action brought under	
42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 28, 2016, defendant Easterling filed a motion for summary	
9 judgment, ECF No. 41, and informed plaintiff of the requirements for opposing a motion for	
0 summary judgment. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 56; Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952, 962-63 (9th Cir.	
1998). The time for acting passed, and plaintiff failed to file an opposition or otherwise respond	
to the motion.	
On January 10, 2017, the court warne	d plaintiff that failure to respond to the motion could
result in a recommendation that this action be	e dismissed. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). The court
also granted plaintiff a 21-day extension of time to respond.	
The time for acting has once again passed and plaintiff has not filed an opposition, a	
statement of no opposition, or otherwise resp	onded to the court's order. Plaintiff has disobeyed
/////	
	1
	FOR THE EASTERN ROBERT H. FISHER, Plaintiff, v. EDWARD BONNER, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff is a former county inmate produced 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 28, 2016, co judgment, ECF No. 41, and informed plaintiff summary judgment. <i>See</i> Fed. R. Civ. P. 56; <i>d</i> 1998). The time for acting passed, and plaint to the motion. On January 10, 2017, the court warner result in a recommendation that this action be also granted plaintiff a 21-day extension of the The time for acting has once again par statement of no opposition, or otherwise resp

this court's orders and failed to prosecute this action. The appropriate action is dismissal without
prejudice.

3	Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without
4	prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110.
5	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
6	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days
7	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
8	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
9	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections
10	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <i>Turner v</i> .
11	Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
12	Dated: February 28, 2017.
13	Somund Fibilition
14	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2