1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 WILLIAM GRANVILLE SMITH, No. 2:14-CV-0775-KJM-DMC-P 11 Plaintiff, 12 **ORDER** v. 13 B. AUBUCHON, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff, a prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action under 42 17 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by Eastern District of California local rules. 18 19 On August 2, 2019, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and recommendations, 20 which were served on the parties and which contained notice that the parties may file objections 21 within the time specified therein. No objections to the findings and recommendations have been 22 filed. 23 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United 24 States, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are 25 reviewed de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations 26 of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] 27 court "). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be 28 supported by the record and by the proper analysis. 1

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed August 2, 2019, are adopted in full; 2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief (ECF No. 108) is denied; and 3. The matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial proceedings. DATED: September 25, 2019.