

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 JAMES CATO,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 M. DARST, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16

No. 2:14-cv-0959 TLN KJN P


ORDER

17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a civil rights action seeking
18 relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

19 On June 12, 2014, plaintiff filed a motion for leave to amend his complaint. Plaintiff's
20 motion was not, however, accompanied by a proposed amended complaint. As a prisoner,
21 plaintiff's pleadings are subject to evaluation by this court pursuant to the in forma pauperis
22 statute. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915A. Because plaintiff did not submit a proposed amended complaint,
23 the court is unable to evaluate it.

24 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's June 12, 2014 motion for leave
25 to amend (ECF No. 13) is denied without prejudice.

26 Dated: June 25, 2014

27 
KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28 Cat959.10b