1		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7	LIMITED STAT	TEC DICTRICT COLIDT
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	SCOTT EMERSON,	No. 2:14-cv-0972 TLN CKD P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	F. FOULK, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. The United States Supreme Court has	
17	ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in § 1983	
18	cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In certain exceptional	
19	circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
20	1915(e)(1). Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900	
21	F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the court does not find the required	
22	exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's request for the appointment of counsel will therefore be	
23	denied.	
24	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the appointment of	
25	counsel (ECF No. 35) is denied.	
26	Dated: August 20, 2015	Carop U. Delany
27		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
28	2/mp; emer0972.31	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE