1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MARVIN HARRIS, No. 2:14-cv-0975 WBS DAD P 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. ORDER 14 TIM V. VIRGA et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 19 U.S.C. § 1915. 20 The federal venue statute provides that a civil action "may be brought in (1) a judicial 21 district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the 22 district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action 23 24 is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in 25 this action, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court's personal 26 jurisdiction with respect to such action." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). 27 In the caption of the complaint before the court plaintiff appears to identify as the

28

1	(Dkt. No. 1 at 1.) However, in the body of his complaint plaintiff names the defendants as the
2	Warden of Salinas Valley State Prison and three other correctional employees at Salinas Valley.
3	(<u>Id</u> . at 2.) In his complaint plaintiff identifies the institution where the alleged constitutional
4	violation occurred as Folsom State Prison. (Id. at 1.) However, a review of the actual allegations
5	of the complaint reveals that plaintiff is seeking to complain about missing personal property that
6	did not accompany him when, on December 27, 2013, he was transferred from Folsom to Salinas
7	Valley, as well as about a classification committee decision made at Salinas Valley and the
8	conditions of his confinement at Salinas Valley. (Id. at 3-10.) As such plaintiff's primary claims
9	arose at Salinas Valley State Prison in Monterey County. Monterey County is in the Northern
10	District of California. Therefore, in furtherance of justice, the court will transfer this action to the
11	United States District Court for the Northern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 1404(a).
12	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is transferred to the Northern
13	District of California.
14	Dated: May 22, 2014
15	Dale A. Dage
16	DALE A. DROZD
17	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAD:9 harr0975.21c
18	Mario 9 73.210
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	