1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JEREMY D. STRAIN,	No. 2:14-cv-1008 CKD P
12	Petitioner,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	
15	Respondent.	
16	Kespondent.	
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute	
18	right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460	
19	(9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage	
20	of the case "if the interests of justice so require." <u>See</u> Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases.	
21	In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the	
22	appointment of counsel at the present time.	
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's May 29, 2014 request for	
24	appointment of counsel (ECF No. 15) is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a	
25	later stage of the proceedings.	
26	Dated: June 10, 2014	Carop U. Delany
27		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
28	2/md; stra1008.110	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE