1	1 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
2	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	.4-CV-01048 JAM-AC	
3	3	.4-CV-01048 JAM-AC	
4			
5	/		
6	Defendants.) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
7	7 Plaintiff,)))	
8		.4-CV-01035 JAM-AC	
9	9 APPROXIMATELY \$155,000.00 IN THE)))	
10	FORM OF A CASHIER'S CHECK, ET AL.,) 0		
11	Defendants.)		
12	Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these		
13	actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.		
14	2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same		
15	judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial		
16	savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for		
17	the parties.		
18	The parties should be aware that relating the cases under		
19	Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are		
20	assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation		
21	of the actions is affected. Under the regular pra	of the actions is affected. Under the regular practice of this	
22	court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and		
23	magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.		
24	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that these actions are related.		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
26	Dated: May 28, 2014		
27	/s/ John A. Mendez		
28	U. S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE		
ļ	II		