1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 GERALD SPENCE, No. 2:14-cv-1170 WBS AC P 12 Plaintiff. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 STAMBAUGH, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 In anticipation of a settlement conference previously scheduled in this case, plaintiff filed 18 objections to this court's order denying his request to amend the scheduling order. See ECF No. 19 42. The settlement conference was thereafter cancelled at the request of defendants. 20 Plaintiff's objections to the court's order filed September 19, 2017, ECF No. 41, are 21 overruled for the reasons stated in the order. If plaintiff chooses to seek leave to file a further 22 amended complaint, he must file and serve a motion demonstrating good cause for amendment 23 together with a proposed Second Amended Complaint. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). If plaintiff 24 requires additional time to conduct discovery, he may file and serve a motion demonstrating good 25 cause to extend the existing deadlines. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). If plaintiff seeks further 26 responses to discovery, he must file and serve a supported motion to compel further discovery. 27 See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 et seq. 28 //// 1

| 1  | Accordingly, plaintiff's objections filed October 3, 2017, ECF No. 42, are overruled. |
|----|---|
| 2  | IT IS SO ORDERED.   |
| 3  | DATED: October 5, 2017  |
| 4  | auson Clane   |
| 5  | ALLISON CLAIRE<br>UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGI                                      |
| 6  |   |
| 7  |   |
| 8  |   |
| 9  |   |
| 10 |   |
| 11 |   |
| 12 |   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 |   |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 |   |
| 27 |   |