1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	ANTOINE MARQUISE GENTLE,	No. 2:14-CV-1173-CMK-P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	VS.	ORDER SETTING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
13	F. MONTAGO, et al.,	CONTERENCE
14	Defendants.	
15		I
16	Plaintiff, a former prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42	
17	U.S.C. § 1983. The Court previously set this case for a settlement conference before Magistrate	
18	Judge Carolyn K. Delaney to occur on June 3, 2015 (Doc. #28). Thereafter, prior to the	
19	settlement conference, defendants filed a notice of conditional settlement (Doc. #29). On June	
20	22, 2015, parties filed a stipulation to return the case to the active civil calendar, indicating that	
21	the settlement fell through (Doc. #32). The Court continues to believe that this case will benefit	
22	from a settlement conference. Therefore, this case will be referred back to Magistrate Judge	
23	Carolyn K. Delaney to conduct a settlement conference at the U. S. District Court, 501 I Street,	
24	Sacramento, California 95814 in Courtroom #24 on August 31, 2015 at 9:30 a.m.	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28		1

- A settlement conference has been set for August 31, 2015 at 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom #24 before Magistrate Judge Carolyn K. Delaney at the U. S. District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California 95814.
- 2. Parties are instructed to have a principal with full settlement authority present at the settlement conference or to be fully authorized to settle the matter on any terms. The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the settlement position of the party, if appropriate. The purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. An authorization to settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full authority to settle¹.
- 3. Parties are directed to submit confidential settlement statements no later than August 21, 2015 to ckdorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Plaintiff may mail his confidential settlement statement to U. S. District Court, Attn: ADR Division, 501 I Street, Suite 4-200, Sacramento, California 95814 so it arrives no later than August 21, 2015. If a party desires to share additional confidential information with the Court, they may do so pursuant to the provisions of Local Rule 270(d) and (e). Parties are also directed to file a "Notice of Submission of Confidential Settlement Statement" (See L.R. 270(d)).

¹ While the exercise of its authority is subject to abuse of discretion review, "the district court has the

authority to order parties, including the federal government, to participate in mandatory settlement

conferences...." United States v. United States District Court for the Northern Mariana Islands, 694 F.3d 1051,

1053, 1057, 1059 (9th Cir. 2012) ("the district court has broad authority to compel participation in mandatory settlement conference[s]."). The term "full authority to settle" means that the individuals attending the

mediation conference must be authorized to fully explore settlement options and to agree at that time to any settlement terms acceptable to the parties. <u>G. Heileman Brewing Co., Inc. v. Joseph Oat Corp.</u>, 871 F.2d 648,

653 (7th Cir. 1989), cited with approval in Official Airline Guides, Inc. v. Goss, 6 F.3d 1385, 1396 (9th Cir. 1993). The individual with full authority to settle must also have "unfettered discretion and authority" to change the

settlement position of the party, if appropriate. <u>Pittman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 216 F.R.D. 481, 485-86 (D. Ariz. 2003), <u>amended on recon. in part</u>, <u>Pitman v. Brinker Int'l., Inc.</u>, 2003 WL 23353478 (D. Ariz. 2003). The

purpose behind requiring the attendance of a person with full settlement authority is that the parties' view of the case may be altered during the face to face conference. Pitman, 216 F.R.D. at 486. An authorization to

settle for a limited dollar amount or sum certain can be found not to comply with the requirement of full

authority to settle. Nick v. Morgan's Foods, Inc., 270 F.3d 590, 596-97 (8th Cir. 2001).

Settlement statements **should not be filed** with the Clerk of the Court **nor served on any other party**. Settlement statements shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the settlement conference indicated prominently thereon.

The confidential settlement statement shall be **no longer than five pages** in length, typed or neatly printed, and include the following:

- a. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
- b. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.
- c. A summary of the proceedings to date.
- d. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial, and trial.
- e. The relief sought.
- f. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers, and demands.
- g. A brief statement of each party's expectations and goals for the settlement conference.

Dated: July 16, 2015

CRAIG M. KELLISON'

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE