1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 VIKTOR NIKOLAYEVICH No. 2:14-cv-1176 DAD P OSTAPENKO, 12 Petitioner, 13 ORDER AND V. 14 FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS CRAIG MEYER et al., 15 Respondents. 16 17 A recent court order was served on petitioner's address of record and returned to the court 18 19 by the postal service as undeliverable. It appears that petitioner has failed to comply with Local 20 Rule 182(f), which requires that a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any 21 address change. More than sixty-three days have passed since the court order was returned by the 22 postal service and petitioner has failed to notify the court of a current address. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to 23 24 randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action. IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice 25 26 due to petitioner's failure to prosecute. See Local Rule 183(b). 27 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 28 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days 1

1	after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
2	objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3	Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within
4	fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections
5	within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.
6	<u>Ylst</u> , 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).
7	Dated: January 6, 2015
8	Dale A. Dryd
9	DALE A. DROZD
10	DAD:9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
11	osta1176.133a
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	