1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 In re VINCENT THAKUR SINGH, No. 2:14-cv-01204-GEB (BK) dba PERFECT FINANCIAL GROUP, 8 INC., dba AAMCO STOCKTON, INC., dba AAMCO ORANGEVALE, BK Case No. 10-42050-D-7INC., fdba ACCEPTANCE CAPITAL, fdba PERFECT Adv. Proc. No. 12-2454 10 MORTGAGE and MALANIE GAY SINGH, 11 Debtors, ORDER ADOPTING THE BANKRUPTCY 12 JUDGE'S PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 1.3 MICHAEL F. BURKART, CHAPTER 7 14 TRUSTEE, 15 Plaintiff, 16 v. 17 ZIGGNASHA PATEL aka ZIGNASHA PATEL, 18 Defendant. 19 20 2.1 22 On August 14, 2012, Chapter 7 Trustee Michael Burkart 23 ("Plaintiff") filed in the Bankruptcy Court a Complaint for 24 Avoidance and Recovery of Fraudulent Transfer against Defendant 25 Ziggnasha Patel aka Zignasha Patel ("Defendant"). (Adv. Pro. No. 26 12-2454, ECF No. 1.) Defendant failed to appear in the referenced

28

27

adversary proceeding and default was entered on May 13, 2014.

(Adv. Pro. No. 12-2454, ECF No. 31.) Plaintiff subsequently filed 1 a Motion for Entry of Default Judgment, (Adv. Pro. No. 12-2454, 2 3 ECF No. 41), which was unopposed. On May 15, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court filed findings 4 and recommendations on the default motion for this Court's review 5 pursuant to <u>In re Bellingham Ins. Agency</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 702 F.3d 553, 6 7 565-66 (9th Cir. 2012), aff'd, Exec. Benefits Ins. Agency v. Arkison, --- S. Ct. ---, 2014 WL 2560461 (2014). No objections 8 9 to the findings and recommendations were filed. In accordance with Executive Benefits Ins. Agency, 2014 10 11 WL 2560461, at *4 this Court has conducted a de novo review of 12 the matter and finds the findings and recommendations to be 13 supported by the record and by proper analysis. Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 14 15 1) The Bankruptcy Court's findings 16 recommendations filed May 15, 2014, are adopted in full; 17 Plaintiff's Motion for Entry of Default Judgment, 18 (Adv. Pro. No. 12-2454, ECF No. 41), is GRANTED; 19 Judgment shall be entered in favor of Plaintiff 20 against Defendant in the amount of \$27,800.00. 21 Dated: June 10, 2014 22 23 24 Senior United States District Judge 25 26

27

28

and