1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	HILLIARD WILLIAMS,	No. 2:14-cv-1248 KJM AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	JAROM A. DASZKO, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights	
18	action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed March 14, 2016, the district judge	
19	adopted the undersigned's findings and recommendations, and thereby denied defendants'	
20	respective motions for summary judgment premised on plaintiff's alleged failure to exhaust his	
21	administrative remedies. See ECF Nos. 37, 39. Accordingly, this case proceeds on plaintiff's	
22	Eighth Amendment claims that defendants Daszko and Mathis were deliberately indifferent to	
23	plaintiff's serious medical needs.	
24	Before setting deadlines in this case f	or further discovery and dispositive motions, the
25	court would like to determine the parties' wil	lingness to participate in an early settlement
26	conference.	
27	////	
28	////	

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, within fourteen (14) days after service of
2	this order, plaintiff and each defendant shall file separate statements that address the following:
3	1. Whether a settlement conference may be helpful in resolving this action and, if so,
4	whether each party is amenable to participating in such conference;
5	2. If affirmative, whether each party waives the disqualification of the undersigned
6	magistrate judge to conduct the settlement conference, or requests that another magistrate judge
7	be assigned for that purpose; and
8	3. If affirmative, each party shall identify any dates within the next six months when they
9	are <i>not</i> available to participate in a settlement conference.
10	DATED: March 15, 2016
11	allen Clane
12	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2