| 1 | | |
|--------|--|---|
| 1 2 | | |
| 2 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 4 5 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 5 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| о 7 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| | | |
| 8 | Scott Johnson, | No. 2:14-cv-01319-GEB-DAD |
| 9 | Plaintiff, | |
| 10 | v. | STATUS (PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) ORDER |
| 11 | Karin T Meyer, | |
| 12 | Defendant [*] . | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | The status (pretrial scheduling) conference scheduled | |
| 16 | for hearing on September 15, 2014, is vacated since the parties' | |
| 17 | Joint Status Report filed on August 13, 2014 ("JSR") indicates | |
| 18 | the following Order should issue. | |
| 19 | DISMISSAL OF DOE DEFENDANTS | |
| 20 | Since Plaintiff ha | s not justified Doe defendants |
| 21 | remaining in this action, Do | es 1-10 are dismissed. <u>See</u> Order |
| 22 | Setting Status (Pretrial Sch | eduling) Conference filed May 30, |
| 23 | 2014, at 2 n.2 (indicating | that if justification for "Doe" |
| 24 | defendant allegations not p | rovided Doe defendants would be |
| 25 | dismissed). | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | * The caption has been amended according to the Dismissal of Doe | |
| 28 | | nd Plaintiff's August 6, 2014 Notice of |
| | | 1 |

SERVICE, JOINDER OF ADDITIONAL PARTIES, AMENDMENT 1 No further service, joinder of parties or amendments to 2 3 pleadings is permitted, except with leave of Court for good cause 4 shown. 5 DISCOVERY All discovery shall be completed by November 25, 2015. 6 7 "Completed" means all discovery shall be conducted so that any 8 dispute relative to discovery shall have been resolved by appropriate orders, if necessary, and, where discovery has been 9 10 ordered, the order has been complied with on or before the 11 prescribed "completion" date. 12 Each party shall comply with Federal Rule of Civil 13 Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) and (C)'s initial expert witness disclosure requirements on or before August 3, 2015, and any contradictory 14 15 and/or rebuttal expert disclosure authorized under Rule 16 26(a)(2)(D)(ii) on or before September 3, 2015. 17 MOTION HEARING SCHEDULE 18 The last hearing date for a motion is January 25, 2016, 19 commencing at 9:00 a.m. Any motion shall be briefed as prescribed 20 in Local Rule 230. 21 The parties are cautioned that an untimely motion 22 characterized as a motion in limine may be summarily denied. 23 FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE 24 The final pretrial conference is set for March 14, 25 2016, at 1:30 p.m. The parties are cautioned that the lead 26 attorney who WILL TRY THE CASE for each party shall attend the 27 final pretrial conference. In addition, all persons representing 28 2

1 themselves and appearing in propria persona must attend the 2 pretrial conference.

3 The parties shall file a JOINT pretrial statement no later than seven (7) calendar days prior to the final pretrial 4 5 conference. The joint pretrial statement shall address the applicable portions of Local Rule 281(b), and shall set forth 6 7 each theory of liability ("claim") and affirmative defense which remains to be tried, and the ultimate facts on which each 8 9 theory/defense is based. Furthermore, each party shall estimate 10 the length of trial. The Court uses the parties' joint pretrial 11 statement to prepare its final pretrial order and could issue the 12 final pretrial order without holding the scheduled final pretrial 13 conference. See Mizwicki v. Helwig, 196 F.3d 828, 833 (7th Cir. 14 1999) ("There is no requirement that the court hold a pretrial 15 conference.").

16 Final pretrial procedures are "critical for 'promoting 17 efficiency and conserving judicial resources by identifying the 18 real issues prior to trial, thereby saving time and expense for 19 everyone.'" Friedman & Friedman, Ltd. v. Tim McCandless, Inc., 606 F.3d 494, 498 (8th Cir. 2010) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 20 21 Advisory Committee Note (1983 Amendment to subdivision (c)). 22 "Toward that end, Rule 16 directs courts to use pretrial 23 conferences to weed out unmeritorious claims and defenses before 24 trial begins." Smith v. Gulf Oil Co., 995 F.2d 638, 642 (6th Cir. 25 1993). The parties are therefore provided notice that a claim or 26 affirmative defense may be dismissed sua sponte if it is not 27 shown to be triable in the joint final pretrial statement. Cf. 28 Portland Retail Druggists Ass'n v. Kaiser Found. Health Plan, 662

3

| 1 | F.2d 641, 645 (9th Cir. 1981) (indicating that a party shall be |
|----|--|
| 2 | provided notice and an opportunity to respond with facts |
| 3 | sufficient to justify having a claim or affirmative defense |
| 4 | proceed to trial); Portsmouth Square, Inc. v. S'holders |
| 5 | Protective Comm., 770 F.2d 866, 869 (9th Cir. 1985) (stating "the |
| 6 | district court has authority to grant summary judgment ${\it sua}$ |
| 7 | sponte in the context of a final pretrial conference"). |
| 8 | If feasible, at the time of filing the joint pretrial |
| 9 | statement counsel shall also email it in a format compatible with |
| 10 | WordPerfect to: geborders@caed.uscourts.gov. |
| 11 | TRIAL SETTING |
| 12 | Trial shall commence at 9:00 a.m. on June 21, 2016. |
| 13 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 14 | Dated: September 4, 2014 |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Subol E. Kunelly |
| 17 | GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR. Senior United States District Judge |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |