1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 SONG WENFO, No. 2:14-cv-1322 TLN DAD PS 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. **ORDER** 14 BARACK OBAMA, President of the United States. 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 Petitioner Song Wenfo is proceeding in this action pro se. Accordingly, the matter has 19 been referred to the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21). 20 On October 17, 2014, the undersigned issued findings and recommendations 21 recommending that this action be dismissed without prejudice for plaintiff's failure to comply 22 with Rule 4(m) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. (Dkt. No. 13.) Those findings and recommendations were adopted by the assigned District Judge on December 16, 2014, and this 23 24 case was closed. (Dkt. No. 16.) On January 5, 2015, plaintiff filed a notice of appeal and a 25 motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal. (Dkt. No. 19.) 26 A party to a district-court action seeking to proceed in forma pauperis on an appeal must 27 file a motion in the District Court, appending an affidavit that (a) shows the party's inability to

28

Appellate Rules of Civil Procedure; (b) claims entitlement to redress; and (c) states the issues that the party intends to present on appeal. Fed. R. App. P. 24(a)(1). Here, plaintiff's affidavit states that he has over \$3,500 in a savings account and \$1,173 in a checking account. (Dkt. No. 19.) In this regard, plaintiff's filing does not establish his inability to pay. Moreover, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), "[a]n appeal may not be taken in forma pauperis if the trial court certifies in writing that it is not taken in good faith." The good faith standard is an objective one. Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962). A plaintiff satisfies the "good faith" requirement if he or she seeks review of any issue that is "not frivolous." Gardner v. Pogue, 558 F.2d 548, 551 (9th Cir. 1977) (quoting Coppedge, 369 U.S. at 445). Here, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed without prejudice due to plaintiff's failure to comply with Rule 4(m) after plaintiff stated to the court that he could not comply with Rule 4(m) even if give more time. In this regard, plaintiff has failed to satisfy the good faith requirement. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that plaintiff's January 19, 2015 motion to proceed in forma pauperis on appeal (Dkt. No. 19) is denied. Dated: February 10, 2015 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DAD:6 Ddad1\orders.pro se\wenfo1322.ifp.ord.docx