1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 OBIE L. CRISP, III, No. 2:14-cv-1345 DB P 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND 14 CALIFORNIA HEALTH CARE FACILITY, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 Plaintiff is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff alleges defendants violated his rights under the 19 20 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and failed to provide him with adequate medical care in 21 violation of the Eighth Amendment. 22 On May 31, 2018, the court screened and dismissed plaintiff's Third Amended Complaint. (ECF No. 36.) Plaintiff was directed to file an amended complaint within thirty days and warned 23 24 that failure to do so would result in a recommendation that his action be dismissed. Thereafter, plaintiff did not file an amended complaint. 25 26 By order dated July 16, 2018, plaintiff was ordered to dismiss this action or file an amended 27 complaint within fourteen days. (ECF No. 37.) Plaintiff was again warned that failure to comply

with the court's order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Those

28

fourteen days have passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, notified the court he wishes to dismiss the action, or otherwise responded to the court's order. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). The Clerk of the Court is HEREBY ORDERED randomly assign this action to a United States District Judge. These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). Dated: August 15, 2018 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE DLB:1/Orders/Prisoner.CivilRights/cris1345.fsc