1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MICHAEL DAVID STEPHENS,	No. 2:14-cv-1371 KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	V. G. ESTRELLA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding in forma pauperis and without counsel, in this civil	
18	rights action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has agreed to the jurisdiction of the	
19	undersigned magistrate judge for all purposes	s. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(c); Local Rule 305(a). (ECF
20	No. 4.)	
21	On March 19, 2015, the undersigned screened plaintiff's amended complaint pursuant to	
22	28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a) and determined that plaintiff had therein stated potentially cognizable	
23	claims against defendants A. Bryant, R. Mitchell, and L. Kohler. (ECF No. 13.) Plaintiff was	
24	given thirty days leave to either file an amended complaint or else complete and return to the	
25	court the USM-285 forms necessary to effect service on these defendants. (Id.) Plaintiff was	
26	warned that his failure to comply with the order would result in the dismissal of this action.	
27	Sixty days have now passed, and plaintiff has neither filed an amended complaint nor	
28	submitted the forms necessary to effect service	ce nor otherwise responded to the court's order.

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action be dismissed without prejudice.	
2	See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
3	Dated: May 19, 2015	
4	Ferdal P. Newman	
5	KENDALL J. NEWMAN	
6	/steph1371.fta.fusm UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		