

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 LORENZO CRAMER,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 EVALYN HOROWITZ, et al.,

15 Defendants.  
16

No. 2:14-cv-1472-TLN-EFB P

ORDER

17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42  
18 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 26, 2015, defendant Horowitz, the sole defendant in this action, filed a  
19 motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6). Plaintiff has not filed  
20 an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to defendant's motion.

21 In cases in which one party is incarcerated and proceeding without counsel, motions  
22 ordinarily are submitted on the record without oral argument. Local Rule 230(l). "Opposition, if  
23 any, to the granting of the motion shall be served and filed by the responding party not more than  
24 twenty-one (21), days after the date of service of the motion." *Id.* A responding party's failure  
25 "to file an opposition or to file a statement of no opposition may be deemed a waiver of any  
26 opposition to the granting of the motion and may result in the imposition of sanctions." *Id.*

27 /////

28 /////

1 Furthermore, a party's failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules "may be  
2 grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or  
3 within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110. The court may recommend that an  
4 action be dismissed with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the  
5 Local Rules. *See Ferdik v. Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not  
6 abuse discretion in dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an  
7 amended complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d  
8 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule  
9 regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

10 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, within 21 days of the date of this order,  
11 plaintiff shall file either an opposition to the motion to dismiss or a statement of no opposition.  
12 Failure to comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed  
13 without prejudice.

14 DATED: June 22, 2015.

15   
16 EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
17 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28