1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	PETER GRAVES,	No. 2:14-cv-1476 TLN DAD PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	ROBERT JONES,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	By order signed October 20, 2014, plaintiff's pro se complaint was dismissed and leave	
18	was granted to file an amended complaint that cured the defects noted in that order. Plaintiff was	
19	granted twenty-eight days from the date of the order to file an amended complaint and was	
20	cautioned that failure to respond to the court's order in a timely manner may result in a	
21	recommendation that this action be dismissed. The twenty-eight day period has expired, and	
22	plaintiff has not responded to the court's order in any manner.	
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
24	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
25	These findings and recommendations will be submitted to the United States District Judge	
26	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
27	after being served with these findings and rec	commendations, plaintiff may file written objections
28	with the court. A document containing object	tions should be titled "Objections to Magistrate
		l

1	Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within	
2	the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. See Martinez v. Ylst,	
3	951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).	
4	Dated: December 3, 2014	
5	Dale A. Dright	
6	DALE A. DROZD	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8	Ddad1\orders.pro se\graves1476.fta.f&rs.docx	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19 20		
20		
21 22		
22 23		
23 24		
25		
26		
20		
28		
	2	