1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER United States Attorney DONNA L. CALVERT Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX Social Security Administration ELLINOR CODER Special Assistant United States Attorney 160 Spear Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 977-8955 Facsimile: (415) 744-0134 E-Mail: Ellinor.Coder@ssa.gov	
9	Attorneys for Defendant	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	SACRAMENTO DIVISION	
13) (Case No.: 2:14-cv-01514-KJN
14	BRAD PANNELL,	TIPULATION AND ORDER FOR
15	Plaintiff,) R	REMAND PURSUANT TO SENTENCE FOUR OF 42 U.S.C.
16	VS.) § CAROLYN W. COLVIN,) J	405(g) AND REQUEST FOR ENTRY OF UDGMENT IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF
17	Acting Commissioner of Social Security, A	AND AGAINST DEFENDANT
18	Defendant.	
19)	
20		
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the parties, through their undersigned attorneys, and	
22	with the approval of the Court, that the Commissioner has agreed to a voluntary remand of this	
23	case for further administrative proceedings, pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).	
24	Upon remand, the Appeals Council will instruct the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) to	
25	take the following action:	
26	The ALJ will be directed to weigh all the medical opinions of record regarding the	
27	claimant's mental health during the period at issue, including the medical opinions of Janice	
28	Nakagawa, Ph.D., Troy Ewing, Psy.D., Judy Martir	n, M.D., and D. Funkelstein, M.D.,

1	articulating the weight assigned each opinion and the reasons for that weight (20 CFR		
2	§ 404.1527 and 416.927); reevaluate the credibility of the claimant's allegations (20 CFR		
3	§ 404.1529 and Social Security Ruling 96-7p); reassess the claimant's residual functional		
4	capacity (20 CFR § 404.1545 and Social Security Rulings 85-16 and 96-8p); and, if warranted		
5	step five, obtain evidence from a vocational expert to clarify the effects of the assessed		
6	limitations on the occupational base (20 CFR § 404.1566; Social Security Ruling 83-14).		
7	The parties further request that the Clerk of the Court be directed to enter a final		
8	judgment in favor of Plaintiff, and against Defendant, reversing the final decision of the		
9	Commissioner.		
10		Respectfully submitted,	
11	Dated: April 14, 2015	BESS M. BREWER	
12 13	Ву	/: /s/ Ellinor Coder for Bess M. Brewer* *By email authorization on April 14, 2015	
14		Attorney for Plaintiff	
15	Dated: April 14, 2015	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER	
16		United States Attorney DONNA L. CALVERT	
17 18		Regional Chief Counsel, Region IX Social Security Administration	
19	By	v: /s/ Ellinor Coder	
20		ELLINOR CODER Special Assistant U.S. Attorney	
21		OF COUNSEL: AMANDA SCHAPEL	
$\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$		Assistant Regional Counsel	
23		Attorneys for Defendant	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

at

ORDER

The parties' stipulation (ECF No. 25) is APPROVED. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, the action is remanded for further administrative proceedings consistent with this order pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 19) is denied without prejudice as moot.
- 3. Judgment is entered in favor of plaintiff and against the Commissioner.
- 4. The Clerk of Court shall vacate all dates and close this case.

Dated: April 16, 2015

KENDALL J. NEWMAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE