

1 F. Richard Ruderman (SB No. 142226)
 rick@rudermanknox.com
 2 Colleen A. Snyder (SB No. 274064)
 colleen@rudermanknox.com
 Ruderman & Knox, LLP
 3 1300 National Drive, Suite 120
 Sacramento, CA 95834
 4 Telephone: (916) 563-0100
 Facsimile: (916) 563-0114
 5

6 Attorneys for Defendants/Counterclaimants

7
 8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

10 SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED
11 SCHOOL DISTRICT,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 R.H., by and through her Guardians ad
 litem, J.H. and K.H., and J.H. and K.H.,
 15 Individually,

16 Defendants,

CASE NO: 2:14-CV-01549-TLN-DB

**STIPULATION TO DISMISSAL OF
 SECTION 504 COUNTERCLAIMS;
 AND JUDGMENT**

Judge: Hon. Troy L. Nunley

17 R.H., by and through her Guardians ad
 18 litem, J.H. and K.H., and J.H. and K.H.,
 19 Individually,

20 Counterclaimants,

21 vs.

22 SACRAMENTO CITY UNIFIED
 SCHOOL DISTRICT,

23 Counterclaim Defendants.
24

1 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant Sacramento City Unified
2 School District (“the District”) and Defendants/Counterclaimants R.H., by and through her
3 Guardians ad Litem, J.H. and K.H., and J.H. and K.H., Individually, (“Parents”), hereby agree and
4 stipulate to dismissal of the remaining issues and all remaining claims in the above-captioned case,
5 including specifically Parents’ counterclaims under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
6 The Court previously entered judgment on the IDEA claims in this matter on February 22, 2017.

7 Therefore, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the District and
8 Parents hereby jointly request that the case be dismissed with prejudice.

9
10 Dated: May __, 2017

RUDERMAN & KNOX, LLP

11
12 /s/

COLLEEN A. SNYDER
Attorney for Defendants and
Counterclaimants K.H., J.H., and R.H.,
by and through her Guardians ad Litem

13
14
15
16 Dated: May 2, 2017

LOZANO SMITH

17
18 /s/

SLOAN R. SIMMONS
Attorney for Plaintiff and
Counterclaim Defendant Sacramento City Unified
School District


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' foregoing stipulation and GOOD CAUSE APPEARING
THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED that this case be dismissed with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: May 5, 2017



Troy L. Nunley
United States District Judge