1	BENJAMIN B. WAGNER	
2	United States Attorney VICTORIA L. BOESCH	
	Assistant United States Attorney	
3	501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814	
4	Telephone: (916) 554-2743 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900	
5	Attorneys for the United States	
6	1.1001110ys 151 und Sinite Sinite	
7		
8	IN THE UNITED S'	TATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		
11	JEAN MARC VAN DEN HEUVEL,	CASE NO.: 2:14-cv-1555-TLN-EFB PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	UNITED STATES' NOTICE OF RESCHEDULED HEARING ON
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION AND PROPOSED
15	Defendant.	ORDER
	Defendant.	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(1)
16		
17		NEW HEARING DATE:
18		Date: November 5, 2014 Time: 10:00 a.m.
19		Judge: Hon. Edmund F. Brennan
20		Ctrm.: 8, 13th floor
21		Old Hearing Date:
22		Date: October 1, 2014
		Time: 10:00 a.m.
23		
24		
25	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, at Pro S	Se Plaintiff Jean Marc Van Den Heuvel's reques

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, at Pro Se Plaintiff Jean Marc Van Den Heuvel's request, the United States hereby reschedules the hearing on its Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction (Dkt. 10) to **November 5, 2014, at 10:00 a.m.**, or as soon thereafter as this matter may

28

27

Dog. 12

1	be heard, in the Courtroom of the Honorable Edmund F. Brennan, United States Magistrate Judge,		
2	United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, Courtroom No. 8, 13th Floor. The		
3	United States brings this motion because, in order to bring a claim under the Federal Tort Claims Act		
4	(the "FTCA"), Plaintiff must first exhaust his administrative remedies. Because he has not done so,		
5	the Court lacks jurisdiction and Plaintiff's case must be dismissed.		
6	On August 26, 2014, the United States' counsel received a request from Plaintiff for 30 more		
7	days to respond to its motion to dismiss. That request suggests that Plaintiff had a stroke and needs		
8	extra time to respond. See Exhibit A. The United States therefore re-notices its motion to give		
9	Plaintiff the requested extra time.		
10	Because Plaintiff proceeds pro se, the United States refers him to Eastern District of		
11	California Local Rule 230, which provides:		
12			
13	date. A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect, specifically designating the motion in question. No party will be entitled to be heard in opposition to a motion at oral arguments if opposition to the		
14			
15			
16	motion has not been timely filed by that party. See [Local Rule] 135.		
17	E.D. Cal. R. 230(c).		
18	Respectfully submitted,		
19			
20			
21			
22	VICTORIA L. BOESCH		
23			
	Attorneys for the United States		
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
2425			
	DATED: August 28, 2014. DATED: August 28, 2014. EDMUND F. BRENNAN		
17 18 19	E.D. Cal. R. 230(c).		
	BENJAMIN B WAGNER		
21	Detect: August 27, 2014 Press I. Rossah		
	Dated: August 27, 2014 By: /s/ Victoria L. Boesch		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
22	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
21	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
21	Dated: August 27, 2014 By: /s/Victoria L. Roesch		
	United States Attorney		
21			
21			
21	Dated: August 27, 2014 By: /s/ Victoria L. Boesch		
22	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
22			
22			
22			
	Assistant United States Attorney		
22	Assistant United States Attorney		
23	Assistant United States Attorney		
	Assistant United States Attorney		
23	Assistant United States Attorney		
	Assistant United States Attorney		
22			
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
41	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
21	Dated: August 27, 2014 By: /s/Victoria I. Roasch		
	United States Attorney		
20			
19			
17	E.D. Cal. R. 230(c).		
	E.D. Cal. R. 230(c).		
16	motion has not been timely filed by that party. See [Local Rule] 135.		
15	than fourteen (14) days preceding the noticed (or continued) hearing date. A responding party who has no opposition to the granting of the motion shall serve and file a statement to that effect, specifically designating the motion in question. No party will be entitled to be heard in opposition to a motion at oral arguments if opposition to the		
14			
13			
12			
11	California Local Rule 230, which provides:		
10			
5	the Court lacks jurisdiction and Plaintiff's case must be dismissed.		
4	(the "FTCA"), Plaintiff must first exhaust his administrative remedies. Because he has not done so,		
3	United States brings this motion because, in order to bring a claim under the Federal Tort Claims Act		
2	United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, Courtroom No. 8, 13th Floor. The		
1			
1	be heard, in the Courtroom of the Honorable Edmund F. Brennan, United States Magistrate Judge.		