

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 CURTIS L. MARTIN,

No. 2:14-cv-1565-EFB P

11 Plaintiff,

ORDER

12 v.
13 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
14 CORRECTIONS,

15 Defendant.

16
17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
18 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28
19 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and is before the undersigned pursuant to plaintiff's consent. *See* 28 U.S.C.
20 § 636; *see also* E.D. Cal. Local Rules, Appx. A, at (k)(4).

21 On April 9, 2015, the court screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.
22 The April 9 order informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in his original complaint and dismissed
23 his complaint with leave to file an amended complaint within 30 days. ECF No. 12. The order
24 further warned plaintiff that failure to comply would result in this action being dismissed. The
25 time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise
26 responded to the court's order.¹

27
28

¹ Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff
was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current

1 A party's failure to comply with any order or with the Local Rules "may be grounds for
2 imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or within the
3 inherent power of the Court." E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110. The court may dismiss an action with or
4 without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. *See Ferdik v.*
5 *Bonzelet*, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in
6 dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended
7 complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439,
8 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule
9 regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

10 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED. Fed. R. Civ. P.
11 41(b); E. D. Cal. Local Rule 110, 183(b).

12 Dated: May 14, 2015.



13 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
14 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of
the party is fully effective