

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PETER HARRELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

HORNBROOK COMMUNITY SERVICES DISTRICT, MICHELLE HANSON, PATRICIA BROWN, SHARREL BARNES, ROBERT WINSTON, JULIE BOWLES, CLINT DINGMAN, ERNEST GOFF, ROGER GIFFORD, ROBERT PUCKETT, SR., HORNBROOK COMMUNITY BIBLE CHURCH, STEVEN CHRITTENDEN, MURPHY PEARSON BRADLEY AND FEENEY, BRADLEY & FEENEY, INC., BASIC LABORATORY, INC., DUKE MARTIN, KISHER, WINTON & BOSTON, and Does 1-20,,

Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-1595 KJM GGH

ORDER

On August 1, 2016, this court issued an Order in which it unequivocally stated that *no party* to this action was to file any further motions or requests unless it had first followed an emergency procedure laid out in the Order. The procedure required any party wishing to seek access to the court to first obtain permission by “making a request detailing the need for it” to which the opposing party could respond within five court days.

1 Despite this Order Plaintiff has filed a Motion for a Preliminary Injunction and purported
2 to set it for hearing on September 22, 2016, ECF No. 97, and a Motion for a Stay without a
3 hearing date. ECF No. 102. These actions are in direct violation of this court's order and the
4 court will not calendar them for hearing or consider their merits at this time. Pending matters
5 vacated from the Court's September 22, 2016, calendar by that order remain under submission
6 and will be decided by the court without further argument.

7 Plaintiff is now ordered to show cause within 10 days of receipt of this order why he
8 should not be held in contempt of court for failing to obey a direct order.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10 DATED: September 12, 2016

11

12

/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28