1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CHRISTOPHER WHITE, ZERITA WHITE, G'SHELLE WHITE AND	No. 2:14-cv-1603 JAM DB PS
12	DANTRELL STEVENS,	
13	Plaintiffs,	<u>ORDER</u>
14	v.	
15	CITY OF VALLEJO, et al.,	
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	Plaintiffs are proceeding in this action pro se. This matter was, therefore, referred to the	
19	undersigned in accordance with Local Rule 302(c)(21) and 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).	
20	On January 31, 2017, defendants filed a motion for summary judgment and noticed that	
21	motion for hearing before the undersigned on March 3, 2017. (ECF No. 69.) Pursuant to Local	
22	Rule 230(c) plaintiffs were to file oppositions or statements of non-opposition to defendants'	
23	motion "not less than fourteen (14) days preceding the noticed hearing date." No plaintiff,	
24	however, has filed a timely opposition or statement of non-opposition.	
25	The failure of a party to comply with the Local Rules or any order of the court "may be	
26	grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or Rule or	

within the inherent power of the Court." Local Rule 110. Any individual representing himself or

27

28

1 all applicable law. Local Rule 183(a). Failure to comply with applicable rules and law may be 2 grounds for dismissal or any other sanction appropriate under the Local Rules. Id. 3 In light of plaintiffs' pro se status, and in the interests of justice, the court will provide 4 plaintiffs with an opportunity to show good cause for their conduct along with a final opportunity 5 to oppose defendants' motion. 6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 7 1. Plaintiffs show cause in writing within fourteen days of the date of this order as to why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution¹; 8 9 2. The March 3, 2017 hearing of defendants' motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 10 69) is continued to **Friday, April 7, 2017, at 10:00 a.m.**, at the United States District Court, 501 11 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27, before the undersigned; 12 3. On or before March 24, 2017, plaintiffs shall file statements of opposition or non-13 opposition to defendants' motion for summary judgment; and 14 4. Plaintiffs are cautioned that the failure to timely comply with this order may result in a recommendation that this case be dismissed. 15 16 Dated: February 23, 2017 17 18 19 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 20 21 22 DLB:6 DB/orders/orders.pro se/white1603.osc.cont 23 24 25 26 Alternatively, if any plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this civil action they may comply with 27

this order by filing a request for voluntary dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules

28

of Civil Procedure.