UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAXON S. PETERS, Plaintiff, v. PNC BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, et al., Defendants.	No. 2:14-cv-1616 TLN AC ORDER

Plaintiff and defendant Experian Information Solutions, Inc. have filed a stipulated proposed protective order. ECF No. 18. Counsel for plaintiff emailed a proposed protective order to Chambers, correcting most of the incorrect Local Rule references in the filed document.[1] However, the parties have not filed a corrected document with the Clerk. The court believes that it is the better practice to file the actual stipulated proposed order which the parties want the court to sign. See E.D. Cal. R. 141.1(b)(1) (proposed stipulated protective order to be submitted in accordance with Local Rule 143), and 143(a)(1) (stipulations shall be "filed").

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the court does NOT APPROVE the filed stipulated proposed protective order (ECF No. 18), or the emailed stipulation. The parties are

////

[1] The emailed version still relies on "Local Rule 7," which does not exist in this court.

free to re-submit the stipulation and proposed order in accordance with the Local Rules.

DATED: February 20, 2015

ALLISON CLAIRE
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE