

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ADAM RAMIREZ

No. 2:14-cv-1641 KJN P

Petitioner,

ORDER

BRIAN DUFFY, Warden,

Respondents.

17 Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no absolute
18 right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 453, 460
19 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage
20 of the case “if the interests of justice so require.” See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing § 2254 Cases.
21 In the present case, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the
22 appointment of counsel at the present time.

23 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's request for appointment of
24 counsel (ECF No. 12) is denied without prejudice to a renewal of the motion at a later stage of the
25 proceedings.

26 || Dated: March 12, 2015

Kendall J. Newman
KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE