I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MAXUM INVESTMENTS, LP,	No. 2:14-cv-1698 KJM CKD PS
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER
14	ERIC MOTZ,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Defendant, proceeding pro se, removed the above-entitled action from state court. The	
18	matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided by Local Rule 302(c)(21).	
19	On July 30, 2014, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
20	served on defendant and which contained notice to defendant that any objections to the findings	
21	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Defendant has not filed objections to	
22	the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602	
24	F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.	
25	See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist.,	708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed
26	the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by	
27	the proper analysis.	
28	/////	
		1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed July 30, 2014 are adopted in full; and
3	2. The above-entitled action is summarily remanded to the Superior Court of California,
4	County of Sacramento.
5	DATED: November 12, 2014.
6	$1 \cdot 0 \cdot 1$
7	Amele
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2