

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORLANDO CERDA,
Plaintiff,
v.
SACRAMENTO CITY POLICE K-9
DIVISION,
Defendants.


No. 2:14-cv-1712 KJN P

ORDER

Plaintiff consented to proceed before the undersigned for all purposes. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). By order filed November 24, 2015, plaintiff’s amended complaint was dismissed and twenty-eight days leave to file a second amended complaint was granted. Twenty-eight days from that date have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the court’s order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

Dated: January 7, 2016


KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cerd1712..fta