

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORLANDO CERDA,
Plaintiff,
v.
SACRAMENTO CITY POLICE K-9
DIVISION,
Defendants.

No. 2:14-cv-1712 KJN P

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

Plaintiff is a proceeding without counsel. Plaintiff consented to proceed before the undersigned for all purposes. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(c). By order filed September 19, 2014, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. The thirty day period has now expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

///
///
///

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that within thirty days from the date of this
2 order, plaintiff shall show cause why this action should not be dismissed without prejudice. See
3 Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

4 Dated: October 24, 2014

5

6 /cerd1712.osc

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23


24

25

26

27

28


KENDALL J. NEWMAN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE