<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Both parties have consented to the jurisdiction of a Magistrate Judge to conduct all proceedings in this action. (ECF Nos. 3 & 12.)

1	presents evidence that he was found ineligible for conjugal visits on March 15, 2017. (ECF No.
2	74 at 74.) However, there is no evidence he exhausted administrative remedies as to this denial.
3	Moreover, the denial does not appear to be based on the former policy of categorically excluding
4	life inmates without a parole date. The same is true for plaintiff's May 11, 2017 request for
5	conjugal visits, denied on May 15, 2017. ( <u>Id.</u> at 76-77.) Neither denial suggests plaintiff's
6	religious rights were violated as initially alleged in this action. Thus the court's decision to
7	dismiss this action without prejudice was not clearly erroneous nor manifestly unjust.
8	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (ECI
9	No. 74) is denied.
10	Dated: May 22, 2017 Carop U. Delany
11	CAROLYN K. DELANEY
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	2 / gira1780.R60
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	