1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JOSEPH LEE GLOSSON, No. 2:14-cv-1795 JAM AC P 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 T. ELLIOTT, 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 18 19 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On September 2, 2016, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein 21 which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to 22 the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Defendants have filed 23 objections to the findings and recommendations. In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this 24 25 court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the 26 court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper 27 analysis. ///// 28 1

(PC) Glosson v. Elliott

Doc. 42

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed September 2, 2016 are adopted in full;
3	2. Defendant's motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 20) is denied; and
4	3. This action shall proceed on plaintiff's deliberate indifference claim against defendant
5	Elliot, as set forth in the operative original complaint, ECF No. 1.
6	DATED: December 27, 2016
7	/s/ John A. Mendez
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	