

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CAROLINA CASUALTY INSURANCE  
COMPANY,

No. 2:14-cv-01889-GEB-CKD

Plaintiff,

V.

DC TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC. a/k/a DC TRANSPORT, INC.; IGOR PARFENOV; ANDREY PAVLOV; IGOR PARFENOV d/b/a DC TRANSPORT; YEVGENIY NIK BUGREYEV; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,

**ORDER CONTINUING STATUS  
(PRETRIAL SCHEDULING) CONFERENCE**

## Defendants.

Plaintiff's Status Report filed January 12, 2015 ("SR") reveals this case is not ready to be scheduled. Therefore, the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference scheduled for hearing on January 26, 2015, is continued to April 6, 2015, at 9:00 a.m. A joint status report shall be filed no later than fourteen (14) days prior to the Status Conference.

DOE DEFENDANTS

Plaintiff states in the SR that it "expects to know the identity of any 'Doe' defendants by March 20, 2015." (SR 2:28-3:1, ECF No. 19.) Plaintiff has until March 30, 2015, to file a motion in which leave is sought under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a) to file an Amended Complaint substituting a named

1 defendant in place of a Doe defendant. The referenced motion must  
2 be noticed for hearing on the Court's earliest available law and  
3 motion date. If leave is not sought as stated, Does 1-10 will be  
4 automatically dismissed from this action.

5 DEFAULT PROCEEDINGS

6 Plaintiff states in the SR that it "anticipates only  
7 one of the defendants may possibly appear," and "anticipates  
8 filing an application for default judgment . . . within the next  
9 several months, by no later than March 13, 2015." (Id. at 1:24-  
10 27, 2:20-22.)

11 Plaintiff shall take the steps necessary to prosecute  
12 this action as a default matter concerning Defendants DC  
13 Transportation Services, Inc.; Igor Parfenov, individually and  
14 dba DC Transport; and Yevgeniy Bugreyev no later than March 13,  
15 2015. Plaintiff shall either file whatever documents are required  
16 to prosecute this case as a default matter against these  
17 defendants or Show Cause in a writing filed why these defendants  
18 should not be dismissed for failure of prosecution. These  
19 defendants may be dismissed with prejudice under Federal Rule of  
20 Civil Procedure 41(b) if Plaintiff fails to timely respond to  
21 this Order.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23 Dated: January 22, 2015

24  
25   
26 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.  
27 Senior United States District Judge  
28