Doc. 25 (PC) Fisher v. Unknown 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 GARY FISHER, No. 2:14-cv-1925 KJM AC P 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 UNKNOWN, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 18 U.S.C. § 1983. On August 26, 2014, plaintiff was directed to submit an application to proceed in 19 forma pauperis. 20 Plaintiff has now filed an Application To Proceed In Forma Pauperis ("Application"), 21 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. However, plaintiff has not filed a certified copy of his prison trust 22 account statement for the six month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint, or 23 the equivalent institutional certificate (which would be completed by plaintiff's institution of incarceration). See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2) (requiring a "certified copy of the trust fund account 24 25 statement (or institutional equivalent) for the prisoner for the 6-month period immediately 26 preceding the filing of the complaint"). Plaintiff will be provided the opportunity to submit a 27 completed in forma pauperis Application, together with either a certified copy of the trust account //// 28

1

statement, or the equivalent institutional certificate, or both if possible. In accordance with the above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Plaintiff's in forma pauperis Application (ECF No. 23) is DENIED without prejudice; 2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send plaintiff a new Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis By a Prisoner; 3. Plaintiff shall submit, within thirty days from the date of this order, a completed and signed Application form provided by the Clerk of the Court; 4. Plaintiff shall also submit, within thirty days from the date of this order, either a certified copy of his prison trust account statement for the six month period immediately preceding the filing of the complaint, or the equivalent institutional certificate, or both if possible. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without prejudice. DATED: November 4, 2014 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE