

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KEITH WELDAY, an individual,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1  
through 50, inclusive,  
Defendants.

) Case No. 2:13-CV-02439 JAM-EFB  
)  
)  
) **RELATED CASE ORDER**  
)

TOM BAUSER, an individual,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1  
through 50, inclusive,  
Defendants.

)  
)  
) Case No. 2:14-CV-01946 TLN-EFB  
)

RACHEL CHAVEZ, an individual,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1  
through 50, inclusive,  
Defendants.

)  
)  
) Case No. 2:14-CV-01957 MCE-DAD  
)

1 MARK JAEGER, an individual, )  
2 )  
3 Plaintiff, )  
4 v. ) Case No. 2:14-CV-01960 WBS-AC  
5 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 )  
6 through 50, inclusive, )  
7 Defendants. )

8 BRUCE KAUFMAN, an individual, )  
9 )  
10 Plaintiff, )  
11 v. ) Case No. 2:14-CV-01961 JAM-KJN  
12 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 )  
13 through 50, inclusive, )  
14 Defendants. )

15 CHRIS GONZALEZ, an individual, )  
16 )  
17 Plaintiff, )  
18 v. ) Case No. 2:14-CV-01963 KJM-AC  
19 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 )  
20 through 50, inclusive, )  
21 Defendants. )

22 KATHRYN VON KOPP, an individual, )  
23 )  
24 Plaintiff, )  
25 v. ) Case No. 2:14-CV-01965 TLN-CKD  
26 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 )  
27 through 50, inclusive, )  
28 Defendants. )

23 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these  
24 actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.  
25 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge  
26 and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of  
27 judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the  
28 parties.

