```
1
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
                        UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 8
                       EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9
10
    TOM BAUSER, an individual,
                                         Case No. 2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB
11
                                         RELATED CASE ORDER
                      Plaintiff,
                                         Related to Nos.:
12
                                         2:13-CV-02439 JAM-EFB
                                          2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB
         v.
13
                                          2:14-CV-01957 JAM-EFB
                                          2:14-CV-01960 JAM-EFB
14
                                         2:14-CV-01961 JAM-EFB
    RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1)
    through 50, inclusive,
                                          2:14-CV-01963 JAM-EFB
15
                                          2:14-CV-01965 JAM-EFB
                                          2:15-CV-00429 JAM-EFB
16
                      Defendants.
                                          2:15-CV-00622 JAM-EFB
                                          2:15-CV-00623 JAM-EFB
17
                                          2:15-CV-02150 JAM-EFB
                                         1:15-CV-01721 JAM-EFB
18
                                         1:15-CV-01748 JAM-EFB
19
   MIKE CAMPBELL, an individual,
                                         Case No. 2:15-CV-02396 JAM-CMK
20
                      Plaintiff,
21
         v.
22
    RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1
    through 50, inclusive,
23
    Defendants.
24
    BRYCE WILLIAMS, an individual,
                                         Case No. 1:15-CV-01874 JAM-SAB
25
                      Plaintiff,
26
         v.
27
    RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1
    through 50, inclusive,
28
                      Defendants.
```

Bauser v. Rite Aid Corporation

Doc. 40

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the parties.

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge assignment in the actions denominated 2:15-CV-02396 JAM-CMK and 1:15-CV-01874 JAM-SAB be reassigned to Magistrate Judge Edmund F. Brennan for all further proceedings. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as 2:15-CV-02396 JAM-EFB and 1:15-CV-01874 JAM-EFB.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 19, 2016

/s/ John A. Mendez

JOHN A. MENDEZ

United States District Court Judge