

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

|                                  |   |                                  |
|----------------------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| TOM BAUSER, an individual,       | ) | Case No. 2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB   |
|                                  | ) |                                  |
|                                  | ) | <b><u>RELATED CASE ORDER</u></b> |
| Plaintiff,                       | ) | Related to Nos.:                 |
|                                  | ) | 2:13-CV-02439 JAM-EFB            |
| v.                               | ) | 2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:14-CV-01957 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:14-CV-01960 JAM-EFB            |
| RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 | ) | 2:14-CV-01961 JAM-EFB            |
| through 50, inclusive,           | ) | 2:14-CV-01963 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:14-CV-01965 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 1:15-CV-01721 JAM-EFB            |
| Defendants.                      | ) | 1:15-CV-01748 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 1:15-CV-01874 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-00429 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-00622 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-00623 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-02150 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) | 2:15-CV-02396 JAM-EFB            |
|                                  | ) |                                  |
|                                  | ) |                                  |

|                                  |   |                                 |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| DESTREA BELL, LOUIS SERPA, and   | ) |                                 |
| AGNES MARCALLINO,                | ) |                                 |
|                                  | ) |                                 |
| Plaintiff,                       | ) | Case No.: 1:15-CV-01872 JAM-JLT |
|                                  | ) |                                 |
| v.                               | ) |                                 |
|                                  | ) |                                 |
| RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 | ) |                                 |
| through 50, inclusive,           | ) |                                 |
|                                  | ) |                                 |
|                                  | ) |                                 |
| Defendants.                      | ) |                                 |
|                                  | ) |                                 |
|                                  | ) |                                 |

1 RAMON HURTADO, an individual, ) Case No. 2:15-CV-02594 JAM-AC  
2 )  
3 Plaintiff, )  
4 )  
5 v. )  
6 )  
7 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 )  
8 through 50, inclusive, )  
9 )  
10 Defendants. )

11 

---

ROBERT L. HOLLANDSWORTH, an ) Case No. 2:15-CV-02597 JAM-CMK  
12 individual, )  
13 )  
14 Plaintiff, )  
15 )  
16 v. )  
17 )  
18 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 )  
19 through 50, inclusive, )  
20 )  
21 Defendants. )

22 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these  
23 actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.  
24 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same  
25 judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial  
26 savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for  
27 the parties.

28 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under  
Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are  
assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation  
of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this  
court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and  
magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge assignment  
in the actions denominated 1:15-CV-01872 JAM-JLT, 2:15-CV-02594  
JAM-AC and 2:15-CV-02597 JAM-CMK be reassigned to Magistrate Judge  
Edmund F. Brennan for all further proceedings. Henceforth, the  
caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown

1 as 1:15-CV-01872 JAM-EFB, 2:15-CV-02594 JAM-EFB and 2:15-CV-02597  
2 JAM-EFB.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: January 22, 2016

5 /s/ John A. Mendez  
6 JOHN A. MENDEZ  
7 United States District Court Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28