

1
2
3
4
5
6
7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 DUANE WARREN JACKSON, No. 2:14-cv-1959-MCE-EFB P
12 Plaintiff,
13 v. ORDER
14 A. AMERO, et al.,
15 Defendants.

17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42
18 U.S.C. § 1983. In addition to filing a complaint, plaintiff has filed an application to proceed in
19 forma pauperis (IFP) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, three requests for liens on defendants' real
20 property, and two requests for extensions of time.

21 Plaintiff's IFP application makes the showing required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) and (2).
22 Accordingly, by separate order, the court directs the agency having custody of plaintiff to collect
23 and forward the appropriate monthly payments for the filing fee as set forth in 28 U.S.C.
24 § 1915(b)(1) and (2).

25 The court construes plaintiff's requests for liens against defendants' real property as
26 requests for seizures of property pursuant to Rule 64 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

1 Rule 64 provides that:

2 At the commencement of and throughout an action, every remedy is available that,
3 under the law of the state where the court is located, provides for seizing a person
4 or property to secure satisfaction of the potential judgment. But a federal statute
governs to the extent it applies. . . . The remedies available include . . .
attachment . . .

5

6 The burden is on the moving party to establish grounds for an order of attachment. *Loeb and*
7 *Loeb v. Beverly Glen Music, Inc.*, 166 Cal. App. 3d 1110 (1985). Here, plaintiff has not even
8 attempted to satisfy the criteria for imposing a lien or an order of attachment against any
9 defendants' real property. *See* Cal. Civ. Proc. Code § 484.090(a) (before issuing an order for
10 attachment, court must find that (1) the claim upon which the attachment is based is one upon
11 which attachment may be issued; (2) the plaintiff has established the probable validity of the
12 claim upon which the attachment is based; (3) the attachment is not sought for a purpose other
13 than the recovery on the claim upon which the attachment is based; and (4) the amount to be
14 secured by the attachment is greater than zero."). Plaintiff's motions are denied without
15 prejudice.

16 Plaintiff also seeks extensions of time. As there are currently no court-imposed deadlines
17 pending, plaintiff's requests are denied as unnecessary. In due course, the court will screen the
18 complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

19 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

20 1. Plaintiff's application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (ECF Nos. 2, 5) is
21 granted.

22 2. Plaintiff shall pay the statutory filing fee of \$350. All payments shall be collected in
23 accordance with the notice to the California Department of Corrections and
24 Rehabilitation, filed concurrently herewith.

25 3. Plaintiff's motions for liens against defendants' real property (ECF Nos. 8, 9, 10, 11)
26 are denied without prejudice.

27 ////

28

1 4. Plaintiff's requests for extensions of time (ECF Nos. 12, 15) are denied as
2 unnecessary.

3 DATED: June 10, 2015.

4 
5 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28