

1 MARK JAEGER, an individual,)
)
2 Plaintiff,)
)
3 v.)
)
4 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1)
5 through 50, inclusive,)
)
6 Defendants.)

Case No. 2:14-CV-01960 WBS-AC

6 BRUCE KAUFMAN, an individual,)
)
7 Plaintiff,)
)
8 v.)
)
9 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1)
10 through 50, inclusive,)
)
11 Defendants.)

Case No. 2:14-CV-01961 JAM-KJN

11 CHRIS GONZALEZ, an individual,)
)
12 Plaintiff,)
)
13 v.)
)
14 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1)
15 through 50, inclusive,)
)
16 Defendants.)

Case No. 2:14-CV-01963 KJM-AC

17 KATHRYN VON KOPP, an individual,)
)
18 Plaintiff,)
)
19 v.)
)
20 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1)
21 through 50, inclusive,)
)
22 Defendants.)

Case No. 2:14-CV-01965 TLN-CKD

23 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these
24 actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.
25 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge
26 and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of
27 judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the
28 parties.

