1	(Counsel of record listed on next page)		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	KEITH WELDAY, an individual	No. 2:13-CV-02	2439 JAM-EFB
12	Plaintiff,	Related to Nos. 2:14-CV-01946	JAM-EFB;
13	Timinii,	2:14-CV-01957 JAM-EFB; 2:14-CV-01960 JAM-EFB;	
14	vs.	2:14-CV-01961	JAM-EFB;
15	RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	2:14-CV-01963 JAM-EFB; 2:14-CV-01965 JAM-EFB; 2:15-CV-00429 JAM-EFB;	
16	Defendants	2:15-CV-00622 2:15-CV-00623	
17	Detendants	STIPULATIO	N TO WITHDRAW MOTION
18		TO STAY ANI STATUS REPO	O SET DEADLINE FOR JOINT ORT
19		Judge:	Hon. John A. Mendez
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
<ul><li>26</li><li>27</li></ul>			
28			
20		ÇTIDI II AT	ION TO WITHDRAW MOTION TO STAY

///

Plaintiff Keith Welday and defendant Rite Aid Corporation ("Rite Aid"), acting through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. This is one of 10 related actions pending before the Court: *Keith Welday v. Rite Aid Corp.*, 2:13-CV-02439 JAM-EFB ("Welday"); *Tom Bauser v. Rite Aid Corp.*, 2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB ("Bauser"); Rachel Chavez v. Rite Aid Corp., 2:14-CV-01957 JAM-EFB ("Chavez"); Mark Jaeger v. Rite Aid Corp., 2:14-CV-01960 JAM-EFB ("Jaeger"); Bruce Kaufman v. Rite Aid Corp., 2:14-CV-01961 JAM-EFB ("Kaufman"); Chris Gonzalez v. Rite Aid Corp., 2:14-CV-01963 JAM-EFB ("Gonzalez"); Kathryn Von Kopp v. Rite Aid Corp., 2:14-CV-01965 JAM-EFB ("Von Kopp"); Kevin Lewis v. Rite Aid Corp., 2:15-CV-00429 JAM-EFB ("Lewis"); Jeff Wise v. Rite Aid Corp., 2:15-CV-00622 JAM-EFB ("Wise"); and Paul Neumann v. Rite Aid Corp., 2:15-CV-00623 JAM-EFB ("Neumann") (collectively the "Related Actions").
- 2. On March 4, 2015, Rite Aid moved to stay Welday, Bauser, Chavez, Jaeger, Kaufman, Gonzalez, and Von Kopp pending Romero v. Rite Aid Corp., U.S.D.C., C.D. Cal., No. CV 13-7720-MWF (JEMx) ("Romero"), a putative class action in which the plaintiff alleges that Rite Aid misclassified salaried Store Managers as exempt from the overtime pay and related requirements of California law. Because the plaintiffs in Welday, Bauser, Chavez, Jaeger, Kaufman, Gonzalez, and Von Kopp and the putative class in Romero are represented by the same counsel and plaintiffs in Welday, Bauser, Chavez, Jaeger, Kaufman, Gonzalez, and Von Kopp are putative class member in Romero, Rite Aid contended that the Court here should stay these proceedings as an effective case management tool under its inherent discretionary authority. See Landis v. North American Co., 299 U.S. 248, 254-55 (1936). (See, e.g., Welday ECF 26.) Rite Aid's motions to stay remain pending before the Court, though the hearings were vacated on April 16, 2015. (See, e.g., Welday ECF 34.) No such motions were filed in Lewis, Wise, or Neumann.
- 3. On March 2, 2015, separate counsel filed against Rite Aid a multi-plaintiff and putative class action in Santa Clara County Superior Court entitled *Chavez v. Rite Aid Corp.*, Santa Clara Super. Ct. No. 1-15-CV-277313 ("*Chavez II*") in which the plaintiffs allege that Rite Aid misclassified salaried Store Managers as exempt from the overtime pay and related requirements of California law.

1	4.	On April 15, 2015, the parties in <i>Romero</i> agreed to dismiss without prejudice the putative			
2	class and rep	presentative claims in that action, which obviates Rite Aid's motion to stay in Welday,			
3	Bauser, Char	Bauser, Chavez, Jaeger, Kaufman, Gonzalez, and Von Kopp. Rite Aid also agrees not to move to stay			
4	the Related A	the Related Actions pending Chavez II.			
5	5.	As such, the parties request that the Court:			
6		a. permit Rite Aid	to withdraw its motions to stay in Welday, Bauser, Chavez,		
7		Jaeger, Kaufman, Gonzalez, and Von Kopp;			
8		b. set the parties' deadline to file a joint status report for May 18, 2015, to coincide			
9		with the parties' current or requested deadline to file joint status reports in the			
10		Related Actions; a	and		
11		c. enter similar order	rs on the parties' stipulations in the Related Actions.		
12	6.	The parties also have agreed to set the deadline to exchange initial or supplemental			
13	disclosures for May 18, 2015, in this action and the Related Actions.				
14	Dated	Dated: April 16, 2015. MATTHEW RIGHETTI			
15			JOHN GLUGOSKI MICHAEL RIGHETTI RIGHETTI GLUGOSKI, P.C.		
16			KIGHETTI GEGGSKI, T.C.		
17			By: Michael Righetti		
18			Attorneys for Plaintiff Keith Welday		
19	Dated	l: April 16, 2015.	JEFFREY D. WOHL RISHI N. SHARMA		
20			PETER A. COOPER PAUL HASTINGS LLP		
21			TAUL HASTINGS LLI		
22			By:		
23			Peter A. Cooper Attorneys for Defendant Rite Aid Corporation		
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1	(Counsel of record listed on next page)				
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	KEITH WELDAY, an individual	No. 2:13-CV-02439 JAM-EFB			
12	Plaintiff,	Related to Nos. 2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB;			
13	Tiantin,	2:14-CV-01957 JAM-EFB; 2:14-CV-01960 JAM-EFB;			
14	VS.	2:14-CV-01961 JAM-EFB; 2:14-CV-01963 JAM-EFB;			
15	RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	2:14-CV-01965 JAM-EFB; 2:15-CV-00429 JAM-EFB;			
16	Defendants	2:15-CV-00622 JAM-EFB; 2:15-CV-00623 JAM-EFB.			
17	Detendants	ORDER WITHDRAWING MOTION TO			
18		STAY AND SETTING DEADLINE FOR JOINT STATUS REPORT			
19		Judge: Hon. John A. Mendez			
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					