

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TOM BAUSER, an individual,	)	Case No. 2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB
	)	
	)	<b><u>RELATED CASE ORDER</u></b>
Plaintiff,	)	Related to Nos.:
	)	2:13-CV-02439 JAM-EFB
v.	)	2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB
	)	2:14-CV-01957 JAM-EFB
	)	2:14-CV-01960 JAM-EFB
RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1	)	2:14-CV-01961 JAM-EFB
through 50, inclusive,	)	2:14-CV-01963 JAM-EFB
	)	2:14-CV-01965 JAM-EFB
	)	1:15-CV-01721 JAM-EFB
Defendants.	)	1:15-CV-01748 JAM-EFB
	)	1:15-CV-01874 JAM-EFB
	)	2:15-CV-00429 JAM-EFB
	)	2:15-CV-00622 JAM-EFB
	)	2:15-CV-00623 JAM-EFB
	)	2:15-CV-02150 JAM-EFB
	)	2:15-CV-02396 JAM-EFB
	)	
	)	

DESTREA BELL, LOUIS SERPA, and	)	
AGNES MARCALLINO,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	Case No.: 1:15-CV-01872 JAM-JLT
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1	)	
through 50, inclusive,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	
	)	

1 RAMON HURTADO, an individual, ) Case No. 2:15-CV-02594 JAM-AC  
2 )  
3 Plaintiff, )  
4 )  
5 v. )  
6 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 )  
7 through 50, inclusive, )  
8 )  
9 Defendants. )

6 ROBERT L. HOLLANDSWORTH, an ) Case No. 2:15-CV-02597 JAM-CMK  
7 individual, )  
8 )  
9 Plaintiff, )  
10 )  
11 v. )  
12 RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 )  
13 through 50, inclusive, )  
14 )  
15 Defendants. )

12 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these  
13 actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.  
14 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same  
15 judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial  
16 savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for  
17 the parties.

18 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under  
19 Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are  
20 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation  
21 of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this  
22 court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and  
23 magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

24 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge assignment  
25 in the actions denominated 1:15-CV-01872 JAM-JLT, 2:15-CV-02594  
26 JAM-AC and 2:15-CV-02597 JAM-CMK be reassigned to Magistrate Judge  
27 Edmund F. Brennan for all further proceedings. Henceforth, the  
28 caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown

1 as 1:15-CV-01872 JAM-EFB, 2:15-CV-02594 JAM-EFB and 2:15-CV-02597  
2 JAM-EFB.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: January 22, 2016

5 /s/ John A. Mendez  
6 JOHN A. MENDEZ  
7 United States District Court Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28