1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
8	EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10	AARON GUZMAN and LISA LADNER, )	Case No. 2:16-CV-000211 JAM-KJN
11	)	RELATED CASE ORDER
12	Plaintiffs,	Related to Nos.: 2:13-CV-02439 JAM-EFB
13	v	2:14-CV-01946 JAM-EFB 2:14-CV-01957 JAM-EFB
14		2:14-CV-01960 JAM-EFB
	RITE AID CORPORATION, and DOES 1 ) through 50, inclusive,	2:14-CV-01963 JAM-EFB
15		2:14-CV-01965 JAM-EFB 1:15-CV-01721 JAM-EFB
16	Defendants. )	1:15-CV-01748 JAM-EFB 1:15-CV-01872 JAM-EFB
17		1:15-CV-01874 JAM-EFB 2:15-CV-00429 JAM-EFB
18	)	2:15-CV-00622 JAM-EFB 2:15-CV-00623 JAM-EFB
19	)	2:15-CV-02150 JAM-EFB 2:15-CV-02396 JAM-EFB
20		2:15-CV-02594 JAM-EFB 2:15-CV-02597 JAM-EFB
21	)	
22	Examination of the above-ent:	itled actions reveals that these
23	actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.	
24	2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same	
25	judge and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial	
26	savings of judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for	
27	the parties.	
28	///	

1

1	The parties should be aware that relating the cases under	
2	Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are	
3	assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation	
4	of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this	
5	court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and	
6	magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.	
7	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge assignment	
8	in the action denominated 2:16-CV-000211 JAM-KJN be reassigned to	
9	Magistrate Judge Edmund F. Brennan for all further proceedings.	
10	Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned case	
11	shall be shown as 2:16-CV-000211 JAM-EFB.	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	Dated: February 3, 2016	
14	/s/ John A. Mendez	
15	JOHN A. MENDEZ United States District Court Judge	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		