1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SCOTT BISCHOFF, et al.,	No. 2:14-cv-1970 KJM CKD
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	SANDRA BRITTAIN, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Defendant has noticed a motion to quash for hearing on November 18, 2015. The	
18	subpoena at issue calls for production of documents at a law office located in Redwood City,	
19	which is located in the Northern District of California. Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure	
20	45(d)(3), a motion to quash must be brought in the court for the district where compliance is	
21	required. The motion to quash was therefore improvidently filed in this District.	
22	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant's motion to quash (ECF No. 59)	
23	is denied without prejudice to its renewal in the proper District.	
24	Dated: November 3, 2015	Carop U. Delany
25		CAROLYN K. DELANEY
26		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28	4 bischoff1970.mtq	