n	~	~	Q
	U	υ.	0

1 2 3 4 5	Todd Espinosa (SBN 209591) Law Office of Todd Espinosa 2000 Broadway Street, Suite 333 Redwood City, California 94063 650-241-3873 telephone 650-409-2550 facsimile tie@toddespinosalaw.com Attorney for Plaintiffs Scott Bischoff and Leron D	empsey			
6					
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9					
10	SCOTT BISCHOFF and LERON DEMPSEY,	Case No. 2:14-cv-01970-KJM-CKD			
11	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND ORDER			
12	v.	SETTING BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE ON PRELIMINARY			
13	SANDRA BRITTAIN; KEITH JOHNSON; and RZM INVESTMENTS ENTERPRISE, LLC,	INJUNCTION			
14	Defendants.				
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
	BISCHOFF, et al. v. BRITTAIN, et al., CASE NO. 2:14-cv-01970-KJM-CKD STIPULATION AND ORDER ON TRO AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION				
		Dockets			

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Scott Bischoff and Leron Dempsey (referred to herein as
"Plaintiffs") rent and reside in apartments at the Birchwood Gardens apartment complex at 1225
Bell Street in Sacramento County, California that is owned and/or managed by the Defendants in this action (referred to herein as "Defendants");

5 WHEREAS, on July 29, 2014, Defendants notified Plaintiffs of Defendants' termination
6 of Plaintiffs' tenancies;

WHEREAS, on August 25, 2014, Plaintiffs filed the complaint in this action alleging,
among other things, that Defendants' termination of their tenancies at Birchwood Gardens is part
of a discriminatory housing practice that is contrary to the federal Fair Housing Act, 42 U.S.C.
\$ 3604 and 3617, and a violation of the California Unruh Civil Rights Act, California Civil Code
\$ 51 and 51.2;

WHEREAS, Defendants' termination of Plaintiffs' tenancies is effective August 31,
2014;

WHEREAS, on August 27, 2014, Plaintiffs moved the Court for a temporary restraining
order and preliminary injunction to prevent the termination of their tenancies and served copies
of their motion papers on Defendants' counsel, thereby providing notice to Defendants;

WHEREAS, the Court has set the matter of Plaintiffs' moved for temporary restraining
order for hearing on August 29, 2014 at 1:30 p.m. and directed that Defendants' opposition
papers be filed by the close of business on August 28, 2014;

WHEREAS, the Parties wish to avoid the potentially duplicative expenditure of counsel's
and the Court's time and resources on contested motion practice related to both a temporary
restraining order and preliminary injunction; and

24

23

1

2

3

4

BISCHOFF, et al. v. BRITTAIN, et al., CASE NO. 2:14-cv-01970-KJM-CKD STIPULATION AND ORDER ON TRO AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

WHEREAS, the Parties therefore agree to the issuance of the temporary restraining order 1 2 and the setting of a briefing and hearing schedule on the moved for preliminary injunction; 3 The Parties, through their undersigned counsel, hereby STIPULATE to the immediate issuance of the following order by this Court: 4 5 1. The hearing and briefing dates set in the Court's August 27, 2014 minute order 6 (Docket No. 5) are hereby taken off calendar. 7 2. Plaintiffs' motion filed on August 27, 2014 (Docket No. 4) is granted in part as to the temporary restraining order. 8 9 3. Without making any determination regarding the lawfulness of Defendants' conduct at this time, the Thirty-Day Notices of Termination of Tenancy dated July 29, 2014 and 10 11 the upcoming August 31, 2014 termination of Plaintiffs Scott Bischoff and Leron Dempsey's 12 tenancies in their apartments at the Birchwood Gardens apartment complex shall not be effective 13 until the Court grants relief of this order. 14 4. Pending further order of the Court, and without prejudice to Defendants seeking relief from this order in event of any serious rental agreement violation, Defendants Sandra 15 16 Brittain, Keith Johnson and RZM Investments Enterprise, LLC shall allow Plaintiffs Scott 17 Bischoff and Leron Dempsey to remain in and use and enjoy their apartments at the Birchwood 18 Gardens apartment complex, so long as Plaintiffs continue to pay their monthly rent. 5. 19 Pending further order of the Court, and without prejudice to Defendants seeking 20 relief from this order in event of any serious rental agreement violation, Defendants Sandra 21 Brittain, Keith Johnson and RZM Investments Enterprise, LLC and anyone acting with them or 22 on their behalf shall take no steps to displace, evict or remove Plaintiffs Scott Bischoff and Leron 23 24

BISCHOFF, et al. v. BRITTAIN, et al., CASE NO. 2:14-cv-01970-KJM-CKD STIPULATION AND ORDER ON TRO AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Dempsey and shall not file any actions for possession of Plaintiffs' apartments, including any
 unlawful detainer actions, so long as Plaintiffs continue to pay their monthly rent.

6. Pending further order of the Court, and without prejudice to Defendants seeking
relief from this order in event of any serious rental agreement violation, Plaintiff Scott Bischoff
shall continue to pay the monthly rent for his apartment in the amount of \$665.00 per month as
the rent comes due so long as he remains in his apartment. Plaintiff Leron Dempsey shall
continue to pay the monthly rent for his apartment in the amount of \$680.00 per month as the
rent comes due so long as he remains in his apartment. Payment of rent shall be delivered to the
Birchwood Gardens on-site manager's office.

7. Defendants may file with the Court a memorandum in opposition to the moved
 for preliminary injunction no later than <u>September 12, 2014</u>. Plaintiffs may file a reply to
 Defendants' memorandum no later than <u>September 19, 2014</u>. A hearing on the moved for
 preliminary injunction shall be held on <u>September 26, 2014 at 10:00 a.m.</u>

Because, under the express terms of this order, Defendants will continue to
 receive rent for Plaintiffs' apartments at the current rate, Defendants will suffer no meaningful
 costs or damages related to this order. Accordingly, Plaintiffs are not required to give security or
 bond under Rule 65(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

18 ////

- 19 ////
- 20 ////
- 21 ////
- 22 ////
- 23 ////
- 24
- BISCHOFF, et al. v. BRITTAIN, et al., CASE NO. 2:14-cv-01970-KJM-CKD STIPULATION AND ORDER ON TRO AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Page 3

1	0 This and a shall so wait in affect and it discales the day the Court				
1	9. This order shall remain in effect until dissolved by the Court.				
2	Respectfully submitted,				
3					
4	Date: August 28, 2014 /s/ Todd Espinosa				
4	Todd Espinosa Law Office of Todd Espinosa				
5	2000 Broadway Street, Suite 333				
6	Redwood City, California 94063 tie@toddespinosalaw.com				
7	650-241-3873 telephone				
7	650-409-2550 facsimile Attorney for Plaintiffs Scott Bischoff and				
8	Leron Dempsey				
9	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document.				
10	LAW OFFICE OF TODD ESPINOSA /s/ Todd Espinosa				
11					
10	Date: August 28, 2014 /s/ Richard M. Watts, Jr.				
12	Richard M. Watts, Jr. Millstone Peterson & Watts, LLP				
13	2267 Lava Ridge Court, Suite 210				
14	Roseville, CA 95661 RWatts@mpwlaw.net				
	Ph: (916) 780-8222 x102				
15	Fx: (916) 780-8775 Attorney for Defendants Sandra Brittain, Keith				
16	Johnson and RZM Investments Enterprise, LLC				
17	I hereby attest that I have on file all holograph signatures for any signatures indicated by				
18	a "conformed" signature (/s/) within this e-filed document. MILLSTONE PETERSON & WATTS, LLP /s/ Richard M. Watts, Jr.				
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
	BISCHOFF, et al. v. BRITTAIN, et al., CASE NO. 2:14-cv-01970-KJM-CKDPage 4STIPULATION AND ORDER ON TRO AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION				

1	ORDER			
2	Should plaintiffs wish to supplement their moving papers, they shall do so by September			
3	5, 2014. The briefing schedule set forth above is otherwise approved.			
4	The parties' stipulation is approved.			
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
6	DATED: August 29, 2014.			
7	Nunc pro tunc to the UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE time of submission of the parties' stipulation.			
8	of the parties supulation.			
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
	BISCHOFF, et al. v. BRITTAIN, et al., CASE NO. 2:14-cv-01970-KJM-CKDPage 5STIPULATION AND ORDER ON TRO AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION			