24

25

26

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 COREY J. JOHNSON, SR., No. 2:14-CV-1971-CMK-P 12 Petitioner, 13 **ORDER** VS. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF 14 CALIFORNIA, 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of 19 20 21 22 granted. 23

habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 2). Petitioner has submitted the affidavit required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a) showing that petitioner is unable to prepay fees and costs or give security therefor. The request will be

A review of the petition reflects that petitioner names the People of the State of California as respondent. "A petitioner for habeas corpus relief must name the state officer having custody of him or her as the respondent to the petition." Stanley v. California Supreme Court, 21 F.3d 359, 360 (9th Cir. 1994); see also Rule 2(a), Federal Rules Governing Section

2254 Cases. Because petitioner has not named the appropriate state officer, petitioner will be provided leave to amend to correct this technical defect by naming the correct respondent. <u>See Stanley</u>, 21 F.3d at 360. Petitioner is warned that failure to comply with this order may result in the dismissal of this action. See Local Rule 110.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Petitioner's motion for leave to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. 2) is granted;
- 2. Petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus (Doc. 1) is dismissed with leave to amend;
- Petitioner shall file an amended petition on the form employed by this
  court, and which names the proper respondent and states all claims and requests for relief, within
  days of the date of this order; and
- 4. The Clerk of the Court is directed to send petitioner the court's form habeas corpus application.

DATED: October 7, 2014

CRAIG M. KELLISON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE