is complete, the new petition should be construed as a motion to amend the pending petition rather than as a successive application." Woods v. Carey, 525 F.3d 886, 888-890 (9th Cir. 2008).

27

28

1	In this case, the petition filed in the first action has not been adjudicated. Therefore, the
2	instant petition is construed as a motion to amend the previously-filed petition.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The Clerk of the Court shall file the petition (ECF No. 1) in Case No. 2:14-cv-1973-
5	JAM-DB as a motion to amend;
6	2. The Clerk shall file a copy of this order in Case No. 2:14-cv-1973-JAM-DB and close
7	this case; and
8	3. Petitioner is instructed that all future pleadings should be identified by the case
9	number: 2:14-cv-1973-JAM-DB.
10	DATED: May 15, 2017.
11	EDMUND F. BRENNAN
12	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	