



1           It is apparent that Ms. Marshall wishes to have this document filed in this case under seal.  
2 She has not, however, complied with Local Rule 141, which sets for the procedures that must be  
3 followed when requesting to file documents under seal. Nor does she address the standards  
4 applicable to any request to file a document under seal. *See Press-Enterprises Co. v. Superior*  
5 *Court of Riverside*, 464 U.S. 501, 509 (1984) (in determining whether a document should be  
6 sealed, the court begins with a presumption of public access to court documents); *Hagestad v.*  
7 *Trafesser*, 49 F.3d 1430, 1434 (9th Cir. 1995) (in deciding whether the presumption of access is  
8 overcome, the court considers the “public interest in understanding the judicial process and  
9 whether disclosure of the material could result in improper use of the material for scandalous or  
10 libelous purposes or infringement upon trade secrets.”). Accordingly, the motion to file the  
11 documents under seal is denied. The document will not be considered by the court, and the clerk  
12 is directed to return it to Ms. Marshall, together with a copy of this order. *See* E.D. Cal. L.R.  
13 141(e)(1).

14 DATED: August 11, 2016.

  
EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE